



CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
EXAMINER ANSWER SCRIPT (FINAL EXAM)
SEMESTER: II SESSION: 2019/2020

Course: APPLIED STATISTICS

Course Code: BUM2413

QUESTION 1

i)	$s_X = 0.1114$ $s_Y = 0.1949$ $f_{1-\alpha/2, \nu_Y, \nu_X} = f_{0.98, 5, 5} = \frac{1}{f_{0.02, 5, 5}} = \frac{1}{7.9529} = 0.1257$ $f_{\alpha/2, \nu_Y, \nu_X} = f_{0.02, 5, 5} = 7.9529$ <p>A 96% confidence interval for σ_X^2 / σ_Y^2</p> $= \left(\frac{s_X^2}{s_Y^2} f_{1-\alpha/2, \nu_Y, \nu_X}, \frac{s_X^2}{s_Y^2} f_{\alpha/2, \nu_Y, \nu_X} \right)$ $= \left(\frac{(0.1114)^2}{(0.1949)^2} (0.1257), \frac{(0.1114)^2}{(0.1949)^2} (7.9529) \right)$ $= (0.0411, 2.5982)$
ii)	<p>Step 1: $H_0 : \sigma_X = \sigma_Y$ (<i>Claim</i> : similar variability) $H_1 : \sigma_X \neq \sigma_Y$</p> <p>Step 2: The confidence interval is (0.0411, 2.5982)</p> <p>Step 3: Since $\sigma_0^2 = 1$ is in the interval (0.0411, 2.5982), Do not reject H_0</p> <p>Step 5: At $\alpha = 0.04$, there is enough evidence to support the claim that prototype X and Y has similar variability of capacity.</p>
iii)	<p>$\bar{x}_X = 2.9083$ $\bar{x}_Y = 2.85$</p> <p>Step 1: $H_0 : \mu_Y - \mu_X \leq 0$ $H_1 : \mu_Y - \mu_X > 0$ (<i>Claim</i>: wind turbine prototype Y has higher capacity compared to prototype X)</p> <p>OR $H_0 : \mu_X - \mu_Y \geq 0$</p>

	<p>$H_1: \mu_X - \mu_Y < 0$ (Claim: wind turbine prototype Y has higher capacity compared to prototype X)</p> <p>Step 2:</p> $s_p = \sqrt{\frac{5(0.1114)^2 + 5(0.1949)^2}{10}} = 0.1587$ $t_{test} = \frac{(\bar{x}_Y - \bar{x}_X) - \mu_0}{s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_Y} + \frac{1}{n_X}}} = \frac{(2.85 - 2.9083) - 0}{(0.1587) \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6}}} = -0.6363$ <p>OR</p> $t_{test} = \frac{(\bar{x}_Y - \bar{x}_X) - \mu_0}{s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_Y} + \frac{1}{n_X}}} = \frac{(2.9083 - 2.85) - 0}{(0.1587) \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6}}} = 0.6363$ <p>Step 3: $t_{\alpha, v} = t_{0.03, 10} = 2.1202$, right-tailed test OR $t_{\alpha, v} = t_{0.03, 10} = -2.1202$</p> <p>Step 4: Since $(t_{test} = -0.6363) < (t_{0.03, 10} = 2.1202)$, Do not reject H_0.</p> <p>OR Since $(t_{test} = 0.6363) > (t_{0.03, 10} = -2.1202)$, Do not reject H_0.</p> <p>Step 5: At $\alpha = 0.03$, there is sufficient evidence to reject the claim that the wind turbine prototype Y has higher capacity compared to prototype X.</p>
QUESTION 2	
i)	<p>Step 1: $D = B - C$</p> <p>$H_0: \mu_D \leq 40$ (Claim: Biodiesel produces more UHC by at most 40ppm)</p> <p>$H_1: \mu_D > 40$</p> <p>Step 2: $P\text{-value} = 0.0000$ or $1.8398E-04$</p> <p>Step 3: Since $(P\text{-value} = 0.0000) < (\alpha = 0.1)$, Reject H_0</p> <p>Step 4: At $\alpha = 0.1$, there is enough evidence to reject the claim that biodiesel produces more UHC emission by at most 40ppm compared to conventional diesel.</p>
ii)	No
iii)	<p>State of nature: H_0 is true</p> <p>Statistical conclusion: H_0 is rejected</p> <p>So this is Type I error</p>

QUESTION 3	
i)	<p> $P=583.1667$ $Q= 2$ $R= 4$ $S= 35$ $T=12.8426$ $U= 22.704$ $V= 2.7278$ </p>
ii)	<p>ii)</p> <p>Row effect</p> <p>H_0 : There is no effect on type of paints</p> <p>H_1 : There is an effect on type of paints</p> <p>P-value = 0.0000 @ 0.000001</p> <p>Since $(P - value = 0.0000) < (\alpha = 0.05)$, reject H_0.</p> <p>At $\alpha = 0.05$, there is an effect type of paints on corrosion.</p> <p>Column effect</p> <p>H_0 : There is no effect on type of steel-alloys panels</p> <p>H_1 : There is an effect on type of steel-alloys panels</p> <p>P-value = 0.0000</p> <p>Since $(P - value = 0.0000) < (\alpha = 0.05)$, reject H_0.</p> <p>At $\alpha = 0.05$, there is an effect type of steel-alloys panel on corrosion.</p>
QUESTION 4	
i)	<p>Control: Intensity of the light</p> <p>Respond: Time to fall asleep.</p>
ii)	<p>Mean, $\bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{12} 285}{12} = 23.75 \text{ watt}$</p> <p>Mean, $\bar{y} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{12} 308}{12} = 25.6667 \text{ minutes}$</p>
iii)	<p> $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$ The slope is zero (no linear relationship between x and y) $H_1 : \beta_1 \neq 0$ The slope is not zero (there is linear relationship) </p> $\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{1375}{1792.25} = 0.7671$ $t_{test} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{se(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{0.7671 - 0}{\sqrt{0.004664}} = 11.1893$ $\alpha = 0.06, t_{0.03,10} = 2.1202, -t_{0.03,10} = -2.1202$ <p>Since $t_{test} = 11.1893 > t_{0.03,10} = 2.1202$, Reject H_0.</p> <p>At $\alpha = 0.06$, there exist significant relationship between the intensity of the light and the time people to fall asleep.</p> <p>OR</p>

	$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$ The slope is zero(no linear relationship between x and y) $H_1 : \beta_1 \neq 0$ The slope is not zero(there is linear relationship)														
	$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{1375}{1792.25} = 0.7671$ $t_{test} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{se(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{0.7671 - 0}{\sqrt{0.0039}} = 12.2850$ $\alpha = 0.06, t_{0.03,10} = 2.1202, -t_{0.03,10} = -2.1202$ <p>Since $t_{test} = 12.250 > t_{0.03,10} = 2.1202$, Reject H_0.</p> <p>At $\alpha = 0.06$, there exist significant relationship between the intensity of the light and the time people to fall asleep.</p>														
iv)	No, any rational answer. Yes , any rational answer.														
QUESTION 5															
i)	10														
ii)	0.8309. 83.09% variation in the carbon emission can be predicted by the fuel consumption, the average of fuel efficiency, and the average of distance travel.														
iii)	When the fuel consumption (x_1) and the fuel efficiency (x_2) are held constant, the estimated of carbon emission is increase by 0.0156 million ton for every 1 unit of average of distance travel (x_3).														
iv)	Based on the P -value in the coefficients table from Figure 3 : $x_1 : P - value(0.0147) < \alpha(0.01)$, it is not significant predictor $x_2 : P - value(0.6707) > \alpha(0.01)$, it is not a significant predictor $x_3 : P - value(0.3249) > \alpha(0.01)$, it is not significant predictor Hence, the is no significant predictors.														
v)	Complete the table: <table><tr><th>Predictor(s)</th><th>P-value</th><th>r^2</th><th>Adjusted r^2</th><th>Regression equation</th></tr><tr><td>x_2, x_3</td><td>0.003</td><td>0.8873</td><td>0.8309</td><td>$\hat{y} = 14.1432 + 0.0023x_1 - 0.7267x_2 + 0.0156x_3$</td></tr></table> <p>The best model: x_1 $\hat{y} = 10.7771 + 0.0026x_1$ Reason: This model has the lowest significant P-value and the highest coefficient of determination.</p>					Predictor(s)	P -value	r^2	Adjusted r^2	Regression equation	x_2, x_3	0.003	0.8873	0.8309	$\hat{y} = 14.1432 + 0.0023x_1 - 0.7267x_2 + 0.0156x_3$
Predictor(s)	P -value	r^2	Adjusted r^2	Regression equation											
x_2, x_3	0.003	0.8873	0.8309	$\hat{y} = 14.1432 + 0.0023x_1 - 0.7267x_2 + 0.0156x_3$											
vi)	When $x_1 = 2889$, $x_2 = 7.47$ and $x_3 = 106.4$. $\hat{y} = 10.7771 + 0.0026x_1 = 18.2885$ million ton.														
QUESTION 6															
(a)	We should combine the adjacent														

(b))	We assume $m = 0$																																
(c)) i)	H_0 : The fat content follows a Normal distribution H_1 : The fat content does not follows a Normal distribution <table><tr><th>Fat Content</th><th>Frequency, (O_i)</th><th>Probability, P_i</th><th>Expected, $E_i = nP_i$</th></tr><tr><td>$26 \leq X < 28$</td><td>7</td><td>0.043</td><td>$E_1 = 175(0.043)$ $= 7.5250$</td></tr><tr><td>$28 \leq X < 30$</td><td>22</td><td>0.108</td><td>18.9</td></tr><tr><td>$30 \leq X < 32$</td><td>36</td><td>0.214</td><td>37.45</td></tr><tr><td>$32 \leq X < 34$</td><td>45</td><td>0.270</td><td>47.25</td></tr><tr><td>$34 \leq X < 36$</td><td>33</td><td>0.214</td><td>37.45</td></tr><tr><td>$36 \leq X < 38$</td><td>28</td><td>0.108</td><td>18.9</td></tr><tr><td>$38 \leq X < 40$</td><td>4</td><td>0.043</td><td>7.5250</td></tr></table> <p>No need to combine</p> $\chi^2_{test} = \sum_{i=1}^7 \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$ $= \frac{(7 - 7.525)^2}{7.525} + \frac{(22 - 18.9)^2}{18.9} + \frac{(36 - 37.45)^2}{37.45} + \frac{(45 - 47.25)^2}{47.25} + \frac{(33 - 37.45)^2}{37.45} + \frac{(28 - 18.9)^2}{18.9}$ $= 7.2629$ <p>Since the value of mean and variance are given, hence $m = 0$.</p> $\chi^2_{critical} = \chi^2_{\alpha, k - m - 1} = \chi^2_{0.025, 7 - 0 - 1} = \chi^2_{0.025, 6} = 14.4494$ <p>Since $(\chi^2_{test} = 7.2629) < (\chi^2_{0.025, 6} = 14.4494)$, then we do not reject H_0</p> <p>At $\alpha = 0.025$, there is sufficient evidence to conclude that the fat content follows a Normal distribution.</p>	Fat Content	Frequency, (O_i)	Probability, P_i	Expected, $E_i = nP_i$	$26 \leq X < 28$	7	0.043	$E_1 = 175(0.043)$ $= 7.5250$	$28 \leq X < 30$	22	0.108	18.9	$30 \leq X < 32$	36	0.214	37.45	$32 \leq X < 34$	45	0.270	47.25	$34 \leq X < 36$	33	0.214	37.45	$36 \leq X < 38$	28	0.108	18.9	$38 \leq X < 40$	4	0.043	7.5250
Fat Content	Frequency, (O_i)	Probability, P_i	Expected, $E_i = nP_i$																														
$26 \leq X < 28$	7	0.043	$E_1 = 175(0.043)$ $= 7.5250$																														
$28 \leq X < 30$	22	0.108	18.9																														
$30 \leq X < 32$	36	0.214	37.45																														
$32 \leq X < 34$	45	0.270	47.25																														
$34 \leq X < 36$	33	0.214	37.45																														
$36 \leq X < 38$	28	0.108	18.9																														
$38 \leq X < 40$	4	0.043	7.5250																														
ii)	It's a good fit because we do not reject null hypothesis																																
QUESTION 7																																	
i)	Number of accidents / Number of drivers / type of drivers																																
ii)	Ratio-level / Ratio-level / Nominal																																
iii))	H_0 : All three groups of driver have the same distribution of number of accidents H_1 : All three groups of driver have the different distribution of number of accidents OR																																

H_0 : All proportions are the same

H_1 : At least one proportion is different from the others

OR

$H_0: \pi_1 = \pi_2 = \pi_3$

$H_1: \pi_i \neq \pi_j$ for at least one $i \neq j$ where $i, j = 1, 2, 3$

Number of Accidents	Drivers			$x_{i.}$
	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	
0	209	280	240	729
1	291	220	260	771
$x_{.j}$	500	500	500	$x_{..} = \mathbf{1500}$

O_{ij}	$E_{ij} = \frac{n_{i.} \times n_{.j}}{n_{..}}$	$\frac{(O_{ij} - E_{ij})^2}{E_{ij}}$
$O_{11} = 209$	$E_{11} = \frac{500 \times 729}{1500} = 243$	$\frac{(209 - 243)^2}{243} = 4.7572$
$O_{12} = 280$	$E_{12} = \frac{500 \times 729}{1500} = 243$	$\frac{(280 - 243)^2}{243} = 5.6337$
$O_{13} = 240$	$E_{13} = \frac{500 \times 729}{1500} = 243$	$\frac{(240 - 243)^2}{243} = 0.0370$
$O_{21} = 291$	$E_{21} = \frac{500 \times 771}{1500} = 257$	$\frac{(291 - 257)^2}{257} = 4.4981$
$O_{22} = 220$	$E_{22} = \frac{500 \times 771}{1500} = 257$	$\frac{(220 - 257)^2}{257} = 5.3268$
$O_{23} = 260$	$E_{23} = \frac{500 \times 771}{1500} = 257$	$\frac{(260 - 257)^2}{257} = 0.0350$
$\chi^2_{test} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c \frac{(O_{ij} - E_{ij})^2}{E_{ij}} = 20.2878$		

$$\chi^2_{critical} = \chi^2_{\alpha, (r-1)(c-1)}$$

$$= \chi^2_{0.005, (2-1)(3-1)}$$

$$= \chi^2_{0.005, 2}$$

$$= 10.5966$$

Since $(\chi^2_{test} = 20.2878) > (\chi^2_{critical} = 10.5966)$, then, we reject H_0 .

Hence, all three groups of driver have the different distribution of number of accidents at $\alpha = 0.005$